



ARTICLE

Social Financial Grants in Thailand:
A Catalyst for Inclusive Development
and Economic Stability

Mohamad Zreik, Nazatul Faizah Haron

Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin (UniSZA), Terengganu, Malaysia

ABSTRACT

This paper examines the role of social financial grants in fostering inclusive development and economic stability in Thailand. Drawing from both historical and contemporary perspectives, the study explores the impact of these grants on marginalized communities, with a particular focus on their ability to alleviate poverty, support education, and promote social justice. Through a critical analysis of the Thai government's policies and the involvement of international organizations, the paper highlights the challenges and successes of implementing social financial grants in a developing country context. The findings underscore the importance of sustainable funding mechanisms and transparent governance in ensuring the long-term effectiveness of social financial grants as tools for socioeconomic empowerment.

KEYWORDS

social financial grants, Thailand, inclusive development, poverty alleviation, sustainable funding

Introduction

The increasing focus on social financial grants in Thailand has marked a significant shift in the country's approach to addressing socioeconomic disparities. These grants, aimed at providing financial support to marginalized and vulnerable populations, have become a pivotal tool in the Thai government's strategy to foster

inclusive development and economic stability. Social financial grants in Thailand have played a crucial role in reducing poverty and promoting social justice (Mitlin & Satterthwaite, 2013; Moore & Donaldson, 2016; Rimpeng, 2022). A World Bank study revealed that these grants, particularly the State Welfare Card program, contributed to a 15% reduction in poverty among beneficiaries between 2017 and 2022, lifting approximately 800,000 people out of extreme poverty (Ariyaprichya et al., 2023). As Thailand continues to navigate the complexities of globalization and economic transitions, social financial grants offer a critical lifeline to those left behind by conventional economic policies.

Social financial grants in Thailand have evolved from basic welfare provisions to more sophisticated programs designed to empower communities, reduce poverty, and enhance social justice. These grants, which range from direct cash transfers to educational scholarships and healthcare subsidies, are not merely financial aid but also mechanisms for promoting social inclusion and equity (de la Brière & Rawlings, 2006). The impact of these grants on the lives of beneficiaries, particularly in rural areas and among ethnic minorities, has been profound, leading to improved living standards and greater access to essential services (Chomik & Piggott, 2015; Porst & Sakdapolrak, 2020).

However, the effectiveness of social financial grants in Thailand is not without challenges. Issues such as the sustainability of funding, governance, and transparency have raised questions about the long-term viability of these programs. Moreover, the success of these grants is often influenced by external factors, including economic fluctuations and political dynamics, which can either enhance or undermine their impact.

This paper seeks to explore the role of social financial grants in fostering inclusive development and economic stability in Thailand. It is based on a comprehensive analysis of secondary empirical data and an extensive literature review of policy reports, governmental documents, and academic sources. While the study references statistical findings from organizations such as the World Bank, Transparency International, and the Ministry of Social Development in Thailand, it does not include new primary data collection. Instead, it critically examines existing data to assess the role of social financial grants in fostering economic stability and social inclusion. Given this approach, the research employs qualitative content analysis and comparative literature analysis to explore the socioeconomic impact of these grants.

This study examines how sociopolitical transformations in Thailand, particularly in the realm of social financial grants, shape individual well-being, economic motivations, and social inclusion. By investigating the impact of these grants on marginalized communities, this paper explores the interplay between state policies and personal socioeconomic agency, shedding light on how individuals' economic behaviors and values shift in response to welfare policies. The study further contributes to discussions on social exclusion and inclusion, economic and existential security, and the broader moral reasoning behind social welfare policies, thereby offering an interdisciplinary perspective on the evolving nature of economic stability and social justice in a post-materialist society.

Literature Review

Numerous studies have highlighted the role of social financial grants in reducing poverty and stimulating economic development. For instance, studies by Verme (2011) and Devereux et al. (2017) have demonstrated that social transfers, including grants, can significantly reduce poverty by providing financial resources to the poorest households. These studies underscore the importance of grants in enhancing the economic security of vulnerable populations, a finding that aligns with the success of Thailand's State Welfare Card program in reducing poverty by 15% between 2017 and 2022 (Ariyaprichya et al., 2023).

The impact of social financial grants on educational attainment has also been widely studied. Dearden et al. (2009) found that conditional cash transfer programs have been effective in increasing school enrollment and reducing dropout rates in Latin America. Similarly, Meier and Wood (2004) observed that such programs could improve educational outcomes by alleviating the financial burdens that often force children to leave school. These findings are consistent with the positive effects observed in Thailand, where the Education Equality Fund has led to a 20% increase in secondary school completion rates in disadvantaged areas (Ministry of Education of Thailand, 2024).

However, the success of social financial grants is often contingent on the effectiveness of governance and the transparency of grant administration. Transparency International has emphasized the need for robust oversight mechanisms to prevent corruption and ensure that funds reach intended beneficiaries (Corruption Perceptions Index, 2020). Studies by scholars like Campos & Pradhan (2007) and Fritzen (2007) have highlighted the challenges of implementing social programs in contexts where governance structures are weak or prone to corruption. These concerns are reflected in Thailand, where 25% of funds from the State Welfare Card program were found to be misallocated or unaccounted for (Corruption Perceptions Index, 2023).

The sustainability of funding for social financial grants is another critical area of concern in the literature. Barnes et al. (2015) and Carroll & Stater (2009) argue that the long-term viability of social grants depends on stable and diversified funding sources. They point out that reliance on government budgets, which are often subject to political and economic fluctuations, can jeopardize the continuity of such programs. This perspective is relevant to the Thai context, where programs like the Education Equality Fund have struggled with consistent funding, particularly during economic downturns (Equitable Education Fund, 2023).

Finally, the literature on social justice and inclusive development underscores the importance of social financial grants in addressing structural inequalities. Hanlon et al. (2012) and Goldsmith (2010) argue that social grants can play a crucial role in promoting equity by providing disadvantaged groups with the resources needed to participate fully in society. In Thailand, this is evident in the impact of grants on marginalized communities, such as ethnic minorities in rural areas, where grants have improved access to education and healthcare (United Nations Development Programme, 2024).

Historical Background

The evolution of social financial grants in Thailand is deeply intertwined with the country's broader socioeconomic development and its efforts to address poverty and inequality. Initially, social financial grants in Thailand were limited in scope and largely focused on providing basic welfare support to the most vulnerable segments of the population (Cook & Kwon, 2007; Kwon, 2009). These early initiatives were often reactive, designed to address immediate needs rather than long-term socioeconomic challenges. However, as Thailand's economy began to grow and diversify, particularly in the latter half of the 20th century, there was a gradual recognition of the need for more structured and sustainable social support systems (Pananond, 2007).

The first significant milestone in the evolution of social financial grants in Thailand came with the implementation of the National Economic and Social Development Plans, which began in the 1960s (Teerawattananon et al., 2009). These plans laid the foundation for more comprehensive social welfare policies, including the introduction of targeted financial assistance programs aimed at reducing poverty and improving living standards. The introduction of the Universal Health Coverage Scheme in 2002 marked a major turning point, as it provided a broad-based safety net that included financial support for healthcare services, significantly reducing out-of-pocket expenses for low-income families (Luk, 2014).

Over the past two decades, the Thai government has launched several key initiatives to expand and refine the scope of social financial grants. One of the most notable of these is the State Welfare Card program, introduced in 2017 (Phumma & Vechsuruck, 2022). This program provides low-income individuals with financial assistance through a cashless system that can be used to purchase essential goods and services. The State Welfare Card program represents a shift towards more modern, technology-driven approaches to social financial grants, allowing for better targeting and monitoring of beneficiaries.

Another significant initiative is the Education Equality Fund, established in 2018, which aims to reduce educational disparities by providing financial support to students from disadvantaged backgrounds (Gauthier & Punyasavatsut, 2019). This program has played a crucial role in increasing access to education in rural and underserved areas, helping to break the cycle of poverty by investing in the next generation. The Thai government has also partnered with international organizations and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) to enhance the reach and impact of these grants, particularly in areas affected by natural disasters or economic downturns (Coate et al., 2006).

These milestones illustrate the Thai government's evolving approach to social financial grants, from basic welfare provision to more comprehensive and targeted programs that address the underlying causes of poverty and inequality. However, while significant progress has been made, challenges remain in ensuring the sustainability and effectiveness of these grants, particularly in the face of economic uncertainties and changing political landscapes.

Theoretical Framework

The concepts of Inclusive Development and Social Justice serve as the foundational pillars for understanding the role and impact of social financial grants in Thailand. Inclusive development is a holistic approach to economic growth that aims to ensure that all members of society, particularly the marginalized and vulnerable, benefit from development efforts. Unlike traditional development models, which often focus solely on economic indicators such as GDP growth, inclusive development emphasizes the importance of equity, access, and opportunity for all (Gupta & Vegelin, 2016). This approach seeks to address the structural inequalities that prevent certain groups from participating fully in economic and social life. In addition to their direct financial support, social financial grants have acted as economic stimulants in rural Thailand. The Asian Development Bank reports that these grants have increased consumer spending by 12% and created approximately 50,000 new jobs in the agricultural and service sectors over the past three years, demonstrating their broader economic impact (Elhan-Kayalar & Oum, 2022).

Social justice, closely related to inclusive development, is concerned with the fair and equitable distribution of resources, opportunities, and privileges within a society. It advocates for the removal of barriers that perpetuate inequality and the establishment of systems that promote the well-being of all individuals, regardless of their socioeconomic status. In the context of Thailand, social justice involves addressing the disparities that exist between different regions, ethnic groups, and social classes, and ensuring that all citizens have equal access to basic needs such as education, healthcare, and financial security.

Social financial grants play a critical role in promoting the concepts of inclusive development and social justice by directly addressing the financial barriers that prevent marginalized populations from accessing essential services and opportunities. By providing targeted financial assistance to low-income individuals and communities, these grants help to level the playing field, allowing all members of society to participate in and benefit from economic growth. For instance, educational grants help reduce the financial burden on families, enabling children from disadvantaged backgrounds to pursue their education and improve their future prospects (Salmi & D'Addio, 2021). Similarly, healthcare grants ensure that low-income individuals have access to necessary medical services, thereby reducing health disparities and improving overall public health outcomes (Kominski et al., 2017).

In Thailand, the implementation of social financial grants has been instrumental in bridging the gap between the rich and the poor, particularly in rural and underserved areas. These grants are designed not only to provide immediate relief but also to empower recipients by enhancing their capacity to improve their socioeconomic status. For example, grants aimed at supporting small-scale entrepreneurs in rural communities help to stimulate local economies, create jobs, and reduce poverty (Moore & Donaldson, 2016). Moreover, social financial grants contribute to social cohesion by reducing the economic inequalities that can lead to social unrest and conflict (Eizaguirre et al., 2012).

The theoretical framework of inclusive development and social justice provides a lens through which the effectiveness of social financial grants can be evaluated.

By aligning these grants with the broader goals of equity and inclusion, policymakers can ensure that they contribute to sustainable development and social stability. However, achieving these goals requires careful design and implementation of grant programs, as well as ongoing monitoring and evaluation to assess their impact and address any emerging challenges.

Case Studies

The effectiveness of social financial grants in Thailand can be best understood through an analysis of specific programs that have been implemented across the country. One prominent example is the State Welfare Card program, introduced in 2017, which has become a cornerstone of Thailand's efforts to support its low-income population (Ariyapruchya et al., 2019). The program provides financial assistance to eligible individuals through a cashless card system that can be used to purchase essential goods and services, including food, healthcare, and transportation. This initiative has been particularly impactful in rural areas, where access to basic necessities is often limited, and the economic disparities between urban and rural populations are most pronounced.

Another significant program is the Education Equality Fund, launched in 2018 to address educational disparities across Thailand (Shaeffer, 2019). This fund provides financial grants to students from disadvantaged backgrounds, particularly in rural and ethnic minority communities, enabling them to continue their education and pursue higher studies (Table 1). The success of this program is evident in the increased enrollment rates in schools and universities among students from these marginalized groups, which has, in turn, contributed to reducing poverty and promoting social mobility in these areas. One of the most significant successes of the Education Equality Fund has been its impact on educational attainment in rural areas. Over the period from 2018 to 2023, secondary school completion rates in these regions have increased by 20%, while the dropout rate among disadvantaged students has decreased by 30%, according to the Ministry of Education of Thailand (2024).

Table 1
Impact of the Education Equality Fund on School Enrollment Rates

Year	Enrollment Rate in Disadvantaged Areas (%)	National Enrollment Rate (%)
2018	68	85
2019	72	87
2020	74	88
2021	76	89
2022	79	90
2023	81	91

Note. Source: Ministry of Education of Thailand, 2024.

While these programs have had notable successes, they also face significant challenges. The State Welfare Card program, for instance, has been criticized for its limited reach and the adequacy of the financial assistance provided. Many beneficiaries report that the amount they receive is insufficient to cover their basic needs, particularly in times of economic crisis or rising living costs (Nawarat, 2019; Townsend, 2011). Additionally, the program’s reliance on digital technology has posed barriers for older adults and individuals in remote areas who may lack access to or familiarity with digital tools.

The Education Equality Fund has also encountered obstacles, particularly in terms of sustainability and the adequacy of resources. While the program has successfully increased access to education, it has struggled to maintain consistent funding levels, which has led to concerns about its long-term viability. Furthermore, the fund’s focus on financial assistance does not always address the broader systemic issues that contribute to educational disparities, such as inadequate school infrastructure and the quality of teaching in rural areas (Durongkaveroj, 2023; Fry & Bi, 2013; Gauthier & Punyasavatsut, 2019).

The impact of these social financial grant programs on marginalized communities in Thailand has been significant, particularly in rural areas and among ethnic minorities. For example, in the northeastern provinces of Thailand, which are predominantly rural and have some of the highest poverty rates in the country, the State Welfare Card program has provided much-needed financial relief to thousands of households (Table 2). This has helped to stabilize local economies, reduce migration to urban centers, and improve the overall quality of life for residents.

Table 2
Distribution of State Welfare Card Recipients by Region (2023)

Region	Number of Recipients, persons	Percentage of Total Recipients, %
Northern Thailand	1,500,000	25
Northeastern Thailand	2,200,000	37
Central Thailand	1,000,000	17
Southern Thailand	1,300,000	21
Total	6,000,000	100

Note. Source: Department of Older Persons, 2024.

Among ethnic minority communities, such as the Karen and Hmong in northern Thailand, the Education Equality Fund has played a crucial role in breaking down barriers to education (Draper & Selway, 2019). By providing financial support to students from these communities, the fund has helped to close the educational gap between ethnic minorities and the broader population, fostering greater inclusion and social cohesion. However, challenges remain in ensuring that these communities

receive the full benefits of the grants, particularly in terms of addressing language barriers and cultural differences that can affect their access to education and other services.

Challenges and Barriers

While social financial grants have become an essential tool for promoting inclusive development and social justice in Thailand, several challenges and barriers threaten their effectiveness and long-term sustainability. One of the most pressing issues is related to governance and transparency. The administration of these grants, particularly at the local level, has often been criticized for lacking transparency and accountability (Gunawong, 2015; Sachdev et al., 2022; Tangcharoensathien et al., 2015). Instances of misallocation of funds, bureaucratic inefficiencies, and corruption have undermined public trust in these programs. For example, there have been reports of favoritism in the distribution of State Welfare Cards, where certain individuals or communities receive more substantial benefits due to their connections with local officials (Puracan et al., 2024). Such practices not only diminish the impact of these grants but also exacerbate existing inequalities, defeating the very purpose of these programs. Transparency International found that 25% of the funds allocated through the State Welfare Card program were either misallocated or not accounted for, highlighting significant challenges in oversight and the need for more stringent anti-corruption measures (Corruption Perceptions Index, 2023).

The effectiveness of social financial grants in Thailand can be evaluated through quantitative measures. According to the annual report of the Equitable Education Fund (2023), the average monthly benefit provided by the State Welfare Card is approximately 600 THB (USD 17 per month) per recipient. However, based on poverty gap estimations, this amount covers only 12% of the minimum cost of living for low-income households in rural areas, which may explain why grant recipients still struggle with financial insecurity (United Nations Development Programme, 2024). Comparatively, Brazil's Bolsa Família provides an average of USD 34 per month, representing a higher share of essential expenses, and has been associated with a 21% reduction in extreme poverty over a decade (Martins & Monteiro, 2016). This suggests that while Thailand's grant system has a positive impact, its effectiveness might be constrained by insufficient funding per recipient and a lack of indexation to inflation and living costs.

The sustainability of funding mechanisms for social financial grants is another critical concern. Many of these programs are heavily reliant on government budgets, which can be volatile and subject to political shifts. Economic downturns, changes in government priorities, or reduced revenue collection can lead to budget cuts that directly impact the availability and effectiveness of these grants. For instance, the Education Equality Fund has faced significant challenges in maintaining consistent funding, particularly during economic crises (Maitrarat et al., 2021). Without a stable and sustainable funding base, these programs risk becoming short-term solutions rather than long-term strategies for addressing poverty and inequality.

External factors also play a significant role in influencing the effectiveness of social financial grants in Thailand. The country's economic landscape, political environment, and social dynamics all contribute to the challenges these programs face. Economic instability, such as the downturn caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, has placed additional strain on government resources, leading to a reduction in the funds available for social financial grants (Wicaksono & Rinaldi, 2021). Additionally, political instability or changes in government can result in shifts in policy priorities, leading to the scaling back or discontinuation of certain programs. For example, political changes in Thailand have at times resulted in the reallocation of resources away from social programs, affecting the continuity and impact of social financial grants (Yuda et al., 2022).

Moreover, social and cultural factors, such as regional disparities, ethnic tensions, and societal attitudes towards welfare, can influence the success of these grants. In regions with deep-seated mistrust of government initiatives, or where there is resistance to external interventions, the implementation of social financial grants may face significant obstacles. Similarly, in areas with high levels of poverty or social exclusion, such as in ethnic minority communities, the effectiveness of these grants may be limited by broader systemic issues that are not addressed by financial assistance alone (Pothipala et al., 2021).

The instability of government funding for social financial grants has been observed in multiple contexts beyond Thailand. For instance, in Indonesia, social welfare programs like the Program Keluarga Harapan [Family Hope Program], or PKH, have faced similar issues, leading the government to establish public-private partnerships and donor-funded reserves to sustain long-term social assistance (Najidah & Lestari, 2019). Likewise, in South Africa, the Child Support Grant has incorporated international funding mechanisms and non-state contributions to reduce reliance on fluctuating national budgets. These cases illustrate that while state funding remains the backbone of social grants, a hybrid model involving international aid, corporate social responsibility (CSR) funds, and social impact bonds can enhance sustainability (Hopkins, 2007).

Policy Implications

To maximize the impact of social financial grants in Thailand, several key policy recommendations must be considered. First and foremost, improving the governance and transparency of these programs is essential. Implementing stricter oversight mechanisms and ensuring greater accountability at all levels of administration can help address issues of corruption and misallocation of funds (Table 3). This could involve establishing independent monitoring bodies that regularly audit the distribution and use of social financial grants, as well as creating more transparent reporting systems that allow the public to track how funds are being utilized. Additionally, training local officials on best practices in grant management and promoting community involvement in the decision-making process can help build trust and ensure that the grants reach those who need them most.

Table 3
Challenges Faced by Social Financial Grants in Thailand

Challenge	Description	Example
Governance Issues	Lack of transparency and accountability in the distribution of grants	Reports of favoritism in the State Welfare Card program (Department of Older Persons, 2024)
Funding Sustainability	Dependence on volatile government budgets and lack of diversified funding sources	Budget cuts during economic downturns affecting the Education Equality Fund (Equitable Education Fund, 2023)
External Factors	Economic and political instability impacting grant effectiveness	COVID-19 pandemic leading to reduced funding availability (United Nations Development Programme, 2024)

Note. Source: developed by the authors.

Another critical recommendation is to secure more sustainable funding mechanisms for social financial grants. Rather than relying solely on government budgets, which are often subject to economic fluctuations and political changes, efforts should be made to diversify funding sources. These could include establishing public-private partnerships, encouraging CSR initiatives, and seeking support from international organizations. In addition, creating endowment funds or establishing reserve funds during periods of economic growth could provide a buffer during economic downturns, ensuring that social financial grants remain available even in challenging times.

To mitigate financial instability, Thailand could adopt alternative funding mechanisms seen in other countries with similar welfare challenges. For example, Brazil’s Bolsa Familia program successfully leveraged conditional cash transfers (CCTs) funded through financial transaction taxes and partnerships with private donors (Hall, 2008). Meanwhile, Mexico’s Prospera program sustained long-term funding by integrating contributions from multilateral organizations such as the World Bank and the Inter-American Development Bank (Martínez-Martínez et al., 2020). Similarly, in the Philippines, the Pantawid Pamilyang Pilipino Program (4Ps) has received support from the Asian Development Bank and the World Bank, allowing it to expand beyond government budgets (Bustos et al., 2023). These examples suggest that Thailand could explore mechanisms such as social impact bonds, donor-matched grants, or dedicated tax levies to secure long-term funding stability.

Moreover, while NGO involvement has been suggested as a potential solution, evidence from Bangladesh’s BRAC microfinance program indicates that NGO-led financial assistance schemes can complement government grants by providing targeted micro-loans and skill-building initiatives (Chowdhury et al., 2014). Thailand could leverage similar collaborations by formalizing NGO participation in rural entrepreneurship programs, financial literacy training, and small-scale business grants for welfare cardholders.

Additionally, the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) has played a key role in supporting social financial grants globally. Programs such as UNDP's cash transfer initiatives in Sub-Saharan Africa and Latin America have demonstrated how strategic funding and governance reforms can enhance grant effectiveness (Hunter et al., 2021). Thailand could benefit from further collaboration with UNDP in optimizing fund allocation, implementing digital payment mechanisms, and strengthening monitoring frameworks to improve the transparency and reach of its social financial grants.

International organizations and NGOs have a significant role to play in enhancing the effectiveness of social financial grants in Thailand. These organizations can provide technical assistance, funding, and expertise in designing and implementing grant programs. For example, international development agencies could collaborate with the Thai government to introduce innovative funding mechanisms or to pilot new grant initiatives aimed at reaching underserved populations. NGOs, with their grassroots connections and experience in community development, can help bridge the gap between government programs and the needs of local communities. They can also serve as watchdogs, advocating for greater transparency and accountability in the administration of social financial grants.

The success of certain social financial grant programs in Thailand offers valuable lessons that could be replicated in other Southeast Asian countries facing similar challenges (Table 4). For instance, the State Welfare Card program's use of technology to streamline the distribution of financial assistance could be adapted in countries with large rural populations and limited access to financial services. Similarly, the Education Equality Fund's focus on supporting disadvantaged students could serve as a model for other nations looking to address educational disparities. Regional collaboration and knowledge-sharing among Southeast Asian countries could facilitate the transfer of best practices and the adaptation of successful models to different national contexts. Thailand's social financial grant programs have been notably more effective in reducing poverty and improving social equity compared to similar initiatives in neighboring countries. A UNDP study (2023) attributes this success to Thailand's stronger institutional framework and higher investment in social programs, suggesting that these factors could be crucial for replicating success in other Southeast Asian nations.

Table 4
Comparative Analysis of Social Financial Grant Models in Southeast Asia

Country	Key Social Financial Grant Program	Successes	Challenges
Thailand	State Welfare Card, Education Equality Fund	Increased access to basic needs and education	Governance and sustainability issues
Indonesia	PKH (Family Hope Program)	Significant poverty reduction, improved health outcomes	Complex bureaucracy, uneven distribution
Vietnam	Social Protection Program	Widespread coverage of vulnerable populations	Limited funding, regional disparities
Malaysia	Bantuan Sara Hidup (Cost of Living Aid)	Effective in urban areas, reduced income inequality	Rural outreach challenges, dependency concerns

Note. Source: developed by the authors based on government reports and UNDP (2023).

Conclusion

The exploration of social financial grants in Thailand reveals their significant potential as instruments of inclusive development and social justice. These grants have proven to be vital in bridging the gap between the rich and the poor, particularly in rural areas and among marginalized communities. Programs such as the State Welfare Card and the Education Equality Fund have already made considerable strides in improving access to essential services and opportunities for those who need them most. However, as this paper has highlighted, the full impact of these grants is often limited by challenges related to governance, transparency, and the sustainability of funding mechanisms.

To ensure that social financial grants continue to serve as effective tools for socioeconomic empowerment, it is imperative to address these challenges head-on. Strengthening governance and enhancing transparency are critical steps toward building public trust and ensuring that resources are allocated efficiently and equitably. Furthermore, securing sustainable funding through diversified sources, including public-private partnerships and international collaboration, will be essential in safeguarding the long-term viability of these programs.

The analysis of social financial grants in Thailand highlights their potential as instruments for poverty alleviation and inclusive development. However, to ensure their long-term effectiveness, Thailand can look to successful models from other countries. For instance, Brazil's Bolsa Família program has demonstrated the effectiveness of conditional cash transfers funded through financial transaction taxes, ensuring consistent financial resources for welfare initiatives. Similarly, Mexico's Prospera program has successfully secured multilateral funding from institutions like the World Bank and the Inter-American Development Bank, providing a model for diversifying financial support. In Indonesia, the PKH (Program Keluarga Harapan) program has integrated public-private partnerships to enhance sustainability, while South Africa's Child Support Grant has benefited from blended funding sources, including international donors and domestic taxation.

Furthermore, Thailand can learn from Bangladesh's BRAC initiative, where NGOs play a critical role in supplementing government welfare programs through microfinance, education grants, and skill-building initiatives. These cases provide concrete examples of how Thailand might structure its own funding mechanisms and policy frameworks to enhance the effectiveness and sustainability of social financial grants.

By drawing on these successful models, Thailand can transition from a primarily state-funded welfare approach to a more diversified system that leverages international aid, CSR funding, and public-private partnerships. International collaboration (such as partnering with international organizations like the World Bank or UNDP) can also be a valuable source of funding, expertise, and support for the long-term stability of these programs.

The insights gained from Thailand's experience with social financial grants offer valuable lessons for other Southeast Asian countries. By replicating and adapting successful models, the region can work collectively toward reducing poverty and

promoting social inclusion. The role of international organizations and NGOs in supporting these efforts cannot be overstated; their expertise and resources will be key in driving innovation and ensuring the successful implementation of grant programs across different contexts.

References

- Ariyapruchya, K., Chua, K. C., Bandaogo, M. S., Lathapipat, D., Osornprasop, S., & Yang, J. (2019). *Thailand economic monitor: Inequality, opportunity and human capital* (Working paper No. 133835). The World Bank. <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/154541547736805518>
- Ariyapruchya, K., Pollitt, H., Mani, M. S., Matsuki, T., Forni, M., Puthong, W., Reungsri, T., Pakpahan, Y. M., Belghith, N., Pennings, S., Petrauskaite, M., Mira-Salama, D., Nie, O., Zhu, B., Khalil, U., Comair, G., Suddhi-Dhamakit, K., Sangarun, B., & Atcharerk, P. (2023). *Thailand economic monitor: Thailand's pathway to carbon neutrality: The role of carbon pricing*. The World Bank. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/country/thailand/publication/temdec2023>
- Barnes, A. P., Hansson, H., Manevska-Tasevska, G., Shrestha, S. S., & Thomson, S. G. (2015). The influence of diversification on long-term viability of the agricultural sector. *Land Use Policy*, 49, 404–412. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2015.08.023>
- Bustos, M., Lau, L. L., Kirkpatrick, S. I., Dubin, J. A., Manguerra, H., & Dodd, W. (2023). Examining the association between household enrollment in the Pantawid Familyang Pilipino Program (4Ps) and wasting and stunting status among children experiencing poverty in the Philippines: A cross-sectional study. *Asia Pacific Journal of Public Health*, 35(6–7), 420–428. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10105395231189570>
- Campos, J. E., & Pradhan, S. (Eds.). (2007). *The many faces of corruption: Tracking vulnerabilities at the sector level*. The World Bank. <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/571831468315566390>
- Carroll, D. A., & Stater, K. J. (2009). Revenue diversification in nonprofit organizations: Does it lead to financial stability? *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 19(4), 947–966. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/mun025>
- Chomik, R., & Piggott, J. (2015). Population ageing and social security in Asia. *Asian Economic Policy Review*, 10(2), 199–222. <https://doi.org/10.1111/aepr.12098>
- Chowdhury, A. M. R., Jenkins, A., & Nandita, M. M. (2014). Measuring the effects of interventions in BRAC, and how this has driven 'development'. *Journal of Development Effectiveness*, 6(4), 407–424. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19439342.2014.966452>
- Coate, B., Handmer, J., & Choong, W. (2006). Taking care of people and communities: Rebuilding livelihoods through NGOs and the informal economy in Southern Thailand. *Disaster Prevention and Management: An International Journal*, 15(1), 135–145. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09653560610654293>

Cook, S., & Kwon, H.-J. (2007). Social protection in East Asia. *Global Social Policy*, 7(2), 223–229. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1468018107078165>

Corruption perceptions index. (2020). Transparency International. <https://www.transparency.org/en/cpi/2020>

Corruption perceptions index. (2023). Transparency International. <https://www.transparency.org/en/cpi/2023/>

de la Brière, B., & Rawlings, L. B. (2006). *Examining conditional cash transfer programs: A role for increased social inclusion?* (Discussion paper No. 0603). The World Bank. <https://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/478631468332065049>

Dearden, L., Emmerson, C., Frayne, C., & Meghir, C. (2009). Conditional cash transfers and school dropout rates. *Journal of Human Resources*, 44(4), 827–857. <https://doi.org/10.1353/jhr.2009.0013>

Department of Older Persons. (2024). *Situation of the Thai older persons 2023*. Ministry of Social Development and Human Security. https://www.dop.go.th/download/statistics/th1738230377-2563_1.pdf

Devereux, S., Masset, E., Sabates-Wheeler, R., Samson, M., Rivas, A.-M., & te Lintelo, D. (2017). The targeting effectiveness of social transfers. *Journal of Development Effectiveness*, 9(2), 162–211. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19439342.2017.1305981>

Draper, J., & Selway, J. S. (2019). A new dataset on horizontal structural ethnic inequalities in Thailand in order to address Sustainable Development Goal 10. *Social Indicators Research*, 141(1), 275–297. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-019-02065-4>

Durongkaveroj, W. (2023). Recent developments in basic education in Thailand: Issues and challenges. *Journal of Southeast Asian Economies*, 39(Suppl.), S20–S33.

Eizaguirre, S., Pradel, M., Terrones, A., Martinez-Celorrio, X., & García, M. (2012). Multilevel governance and social cohesion: Bringing back conflict in citizenship practices. *Urban Studies*, 49(9), 1999–2016. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0042098012444890>

Elhan-Kayalar, Y. M., & Oum, S. (2022). *Estimating the job creation impact of development assistance* (Report No. TCS220588-2). Asian Development Bank. <https://doi.org/10.22617/TCS220588-2>

Equitable Education Fund. (2023). *Raayngaan bpràjam bpee 2566* [Annual report 2023]. <https://www.eef.or.th/wp-content/uploads/2023/06/EEF-AnnualReport-2566.pdf>

Fritzen, S. A. (2007). Crafting performance measurement systems to reduce corruption risks in complex organizations: The case of the World Bank. *Measuring Business Excellence*, 11(4), 23–32. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13683040710837900>

Fry, G. W., & Bi, H. (2013). The evolution of educational reform in Thailand: The Thai educational paradox. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 51(3), 290–319. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09578231311311483>

Gauthier, B., & Punyasavatsut, C. (2019). Inequalities in presence of a school funding formula: The 15-year free education program in Thailand. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 70, Article 102100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2019.102100>

Goldsmith, S. (2010). *The power of social innovation: How civic entrepreneurs ignite community networks for good*. Wiley.

Gunawong, P. (2015). Open government and social media: A focus on transparency. *Social Science Computer Review*, 33(5), 587–598. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/0894439314560685>

Gupta, J., & Vegelin, C. (2016). Sustainable development goals and inclusive development. *International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics*, 16(3), 433–448. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10784-016-9323-z>

Hall, A. (2008). Brazil's *Bolsa Família*: A double-edged sword? *Development and Change*, 39(5), 799–822. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7660.2008.00506.x>

Hanlon, J., Barrientos, A., & Hulme, D. (2012). *Just give money to the poor: The development revolution from the global South*. Kumarian Press.

Hopkins, M. (2007). *Corporate social responsibility and international development: Is business the solution?* Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781849770897>

Hunter, W., Patel, L., & Sugiyama, N. B. (2021). How family and child cash transfers can empower women: Comparative lessons from Brazil and South Africa. *Global Social Policy*, 21(2), 258–277. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1468018120981421>

Kominski, G. F., Nonzee, N. J., & Sorensen, A. (2017). The Affordable Care Act's impacts on access to insurance and health care for low-income populations. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 38, 489–505. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-031816-044555>

Kwon, H.-J. (2009). The reform of the developmental welfare state in East Asia. *International Journal of Social Welfare*, 18(S1), S12–S21. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2397.2009.00655.x>

Luk, S. (2014). *Health insurance reforms in Asia*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315795805>

Maitrarat, P. K., Openshaw, R., & Walshaw, M. (2021). *The history of higher education in Thailand: Confronting challenges*. Palgrave Macmillan. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-79076-9>

Martínez-Martínez, O. A., Coronado-García, M., & Orta-Alemán, D. (2020). The effect of cash transfers from the PROSPERA programme (formerly *Oportunidades*) on poverty in urban households in Northeast Mexico. *Journal of International and Comparative Social Policy*, 36(2), 142–158. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21699763.2019.1641133>

Martins, A. P. B., & Monteiro, C. A. (2016). Impact of the Bolsa Família program on food availability of low-income Brazilian families: A quasi experimental study. *BMC Public Health*, 16, Article 827. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-016-3486-y>

Meier, D., & Wood, G. (Eds.). (2004). *Many children left behind: How the No Child Left Behind Act is damaging our children and our schools*. Beacon Press.

Ministry of Education of Thailand. (2024). *Rai-ngan phol kan dam-noen-ngan pra-jam bpi ngop-bpra-mat phor.sor. 2566* [Annual performance report 2023]. <https://ops.moe.go.th/wp-content/uploads/2024/04/รายงานประจำปี-สำนักงานปลัด-2566-1.pdf>

Mitlin, D. & Satterthwaite, D. (Eds.). (2013). *Empowering squatter citizen: Local government, civil society and urban poverty reduction*. Routledge.

Moore, J. D., & Donaldson, J. A. (2016). Human-scale economics: Economic growth and poverty reduction in Northeastern Thailand. *World Development*, 85, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2016.04.004>

Najidah, N., & Lestari, H. (2019). Efektivitas Program Keluarga Harapan (Pkh) Di Kelurahan Rowosari Kecamatan Tembalang Kota Semarang [The effectiveness of the Family Hope Program (PKH) in Rowosari village, Tembalang district, Semarang]. *Journal of Public Policy and Management Review*, 8(2), 69–87.

Nawarat, N. (2019). Discourse on migrant education policy: Patterns of words and outcomes in Thailand. *Kasetsart Journal of Social Sciences*, 40(1), 235–242. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kjss.2017.08.001>

Pananond, P. (2007). The changing dynamics of Thai multinationals after the Asian economic crisis. *Journal of International Management*, 13(3), 356–375. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intman.2007.05.005>

Phumma, N., & Vechsuruck, T. (2022). Economic paradigm and demand-side populist policies in Thailand. *TRaNS: Trans-Regional and-National Studies of Southeast Asia*, 10(2), 161–172. <https://doi.org/10.1017/trn.2021.23>

Porst, L., & Sakdapolrak, P. (2020). Gendered translocal connectedness: Rural–urban migration, remittances, and social resilience in Thailand. *Population, Space and Place*, 26(4), Article e2314. <https://doi.org/10.1002/psp.2314>

Pothipala, V., Keerasuntonpong, P., & Cordery, C. (2021). Alleviating social and economic inequality? The role of social enterprises in Thailand. *Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change*, 17(1), 50–70. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JAOC-09-2020-0127>

Puracan, G., Mariñas, S. C. A., Jringtaisong, T., & Tearphetdee, P. (2024). Traversing poverty alleviation initiatives: A comparative analysis of the welfare card system in Thailand and Pantawid Pamilyang Pilipino Program (4Ps) in the Philippines. *Graduate Review of Political Science and Public Administration Journal*, 3(1), 21–40.

Rimpeng, L. (2022). Zakat, Islamic virtues, and social justice: A case of the Chiang Mai Zakat (Bayt al-Māl) Fund in Chiang Mai Province of Thailand. *Al-Jami'ah: Journal of Islamic Studies*, 60(2), 347–372. <https://doi.org/10.14421/ajis.2022.602.347-372>

Sachdev, S., Viriyathorn, S., Chotchoungchatchai, S., Patcharanarumol, W., & Tangcharoensathien, V. (2022). Thailand's COVID-19: How public financial management facilitated effective and accountable health sector responses. *The International Journal of Health Planning and Management*, 37(4), 1894–1906. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hpm.3464>

Salmi, J., & D'Addio, A. (2021). Policies for achieving inclusion in higher education. *Policy Reviews in Higher Education*, 5(1), 47–72. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23322969.2020.1835529>

Shaeffer, S. (2019). Inclusive education: A prerequisite for equity and social justice. *Asia Pacific Education Review*, 20(2), 181–192. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12564-019-09598-w>

Tangcharoensathien, V., Limwattananon, S., Patcharanarumol, W., Thammatacharee, J., Jongudomsuk, P., & Sirilak, S. (2015). Achieving universal health coverage goals in Thailand: The vital role of strategic purchasing. *Health Policy and Planning*, 30(9), 1152–1161. <https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czu120>

Teerawattananon, Y., Tantivess, S., Yothasamut, J., Kingkaew, P., & Chaisiri, K. (2009). Historical development of health technology assessment in Thailand. *International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care*, 25(S1), 241–252. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266462309090709>

Townsend, R. M. (2011). *Financial systems in developing economies: Growth, inequality and policy evaluation in Thailand*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199533237.001.0001>

United Nations Development Programme. (2024). *Annual report 2023*. <https://www.undp.org/sites/g/files/zskgke326/files/2024-03/annual-report-2023.pdf>

Verme, P. (2011). The poverty reduction capacity of public and private transfers in transition. *Journal of Development Studies*, 47(6), 870–893. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220388.2010.514334>

Wicaksono, R. M. T. A. D., & Rinaldi, R. P. (2021). Economic determinants of growth acceleration during COVID-19 pandemic: A comparative study between Indonesia, Thailand and Vietnam. *Journal of Developing Economies*, 6(1), 12–46. <https://doi.org/10.20473/jde.v6i1.20834>

Yuda, T. K., Kim, M., Pholpark, A., & Bin Aedy Rahman, H. N. (2022). Unmasking the social policy responses of COVID-19 in four Southeast Asian Nations: Institutional patterns and policy adjustment. *Asia Pacific Journal of Social Work and Development*, 32(4), 294–317. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02185385.2022.2136234>